

**VILLAGE OF BREWSTER  
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS  
WORK SESSION  
JULY 28, 2008**

**MINUTES**

Present: Chairman Richard Ruchala, Board Member Todd Gianguzzi, Board Member Jim King, Board Member Keith Greene, Board Member Claire Degnan Kropkowski, Esq., Village Attorney Gregory Folchetti, Esq., Rick Stockburger, Harry Nichols, Jr., P.E., Achilles Doupis and Mark Anderson

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Chairman Ruchala: Since this is your first meeting would you like to make a motion to call this meeting to order.

Ms. Kropkowski: Sure. So moved.

Mr. Gianguzzi: I'll second.

Chairman Ruchala: Seconded by Todd Gianguzzi. All in favor say aye. Unanimous. The applicant is Nick Krasnigi. What about the mailings? You're missing some of the green cards.

Mr. Nichols: I didn't get the rest of them yet. The white ones are the proof of mailings. Greg, is he allowed to give these to us later?

Mr. Folchetti: The only thing that's required is the proof of mailing.

Chairman Ruchala: If I'm correct there should be 13 of them.

Mr. Nichols: I didn't send one to Mr. Krasnigi.

Chairman Ruchala: There are 13. Would you like to present your case.

Mr. Nichols: This is a parcel of land approximately .14 acres or 6,175 square feet with 53.27 feet of frontage and I understand you have a rezoning under R75. The regulation requires 7,500 square feet and 60 feet of frontage, neither of which we satisfy. We do have 53.27 so we're asking for a variance of 6.73 and since we have 6,175 we're asking for a variance of 1,325 square feet. The yard setbacks, the front is 20, the sides are 12 and the rear is 35. We are asking for a variance of the rear yard which is 35 so we're asking for a 15 foot variance. The reason being the lot is wider in the back and we're asking for the variance to be able to locate the single-family dwelling. Mr. Krasnigi is the contract vendee on the parcel right now and everything hinges on us obtaining the necessary approvals.

Chairman Ruchala: Do you know who the current owner is.

Mr. Nichols: I'm not sure who that is.

Chairman Ruchala: Are you sure he has standing?

Mr. Nichols: Whom are you asking about?

Chairman Ruchala: The contract vendee-does he have standing?

Mr. Nichols: I apologize but I do not have that in my possession. Mr. Krasnigi unfortunately could not be here tonight.

Mr. Folchetti: Typically you make a representation and back it up at the time of the application. What I would suggest is that you hear Mr. Nichols presentation and hold the matter over to the next meeting to submit whatever authorization he has to make the application on behalf of the property owner. You take the presentation and move to hold it over to the next meeting-if the proof isn't presented the applicant would be precluded but chances are it would be open and shut.

Chairman Ruchala: We'll continue the meeting at the end after you've presented everything and we'll look for that piece of information and you can bring us the other return receipts too. Continue please.

Mr. Nichols: This property is located just north of where the new sewer was recently installed. The property will be afforded public sewer and public water. The water lines are located within Putnam Terrace. As I understand it there is adequate supply to service this parcel. There are no other alternatives for this parcel. We do not control any of the land to the south or west. To the north are the railroad tracks and we cannot go any further. To the east is a paper straight that continues paralleling the railroad. I don't know of any other use that this property could support other than a single-family residence for which it is zoned.

Mr. Gianguzzi: The alternative could also be to make a smaller structure.

Mr. Nichols: I don't believe we'll be building a house of that magnitude. We wanted it just for the latitude of positioning the house and being able to have access to it. As you can see on the right side we show 17 feet on the tight spot and it's larger than that as you get to the rear. We're really not using up all the land that would be available for a structure. There's probably another 20 feet in the rear where we're showing that square that would be available. We're looking to locate on the south side of the lot. We ask for this latitude while we're here in order to better suit the needs of the parcel. The variance for the rear yard is not an absolute necessity to permit development.

Ms. Kropkowski: What about the driveway.

Mr. Nichols: The garage would have to fit within the building setbacks and as far as access goes Putnam Terrace probably stops about 150 feet to the south of where the gravel portion comes down and "T's" with the intersection of saw line. Since it will only be serving a single-family residence it will be improved. Our suggestion is to improve it with a single-family driveway.

Chairman Ruchala: On the paper road?

Mr. Nichols: On the paper road. We'd put the pavement within the paper road. It would be necessary to pave-I read somewhere that in the wintertime or when it rains that to try to get up a gravel road is very difficult.

Chairman Ruchala: Coming down is a very steep hill, just so you know.

Mr. Nichols: Even though it's in the paper street the cost would be at the full expense of the applicant. It would be subject to the approval of whatever board in

the Town would oversee that. The Building Department might have different suggestions.

Chairman Ruchala: If we give you the variance you can go right to the buildings inspector.

Mr. Greene: I would suggest that we take a look at the buildable envelope according to the zoning code and then look at what part of that envelope you need to make it a conforming lot. We have plenty of room to the north to build which wouldn't violate the side yard if you're going into the rear yard so we need to see what envelope is left over within the available legal side, front and rear yards and then we'll see if we can look and take a vote on that.

Mr. Nichols: What is allowed-20 or 25%?

Mr. Greene: 25% is allowed but we want to see the envelope. We'll take the yards away and see what's left. I don't see where on the plans you physically and dimensionally plan to go outside that envelope. It's not clear from what's been submitted to me.

Mr. Nichols: We're actually at 1500.

Mr. Greene: You're at 24.29% right now. You want to build a 30x50.

Mr. Nichols: Correct.

Mr. Greene: But I don't know what that 30x50 looks like. The footprint is different from geometry.

Mr. Nichols: I understand what you're saying.

Mr. Greene: We're not sure what we'd be looking at or approving.

Mr. Folchetti: You have to show the setback and where the structure would lie within it.

Mr. Nichols: The usable area once we take out the yard is going to very close to that 1,500 square feet.

Mr. Greene: Will you be able to have that to us by the next meeting?

Mr. Nichols: Absolutely. If I had my calculator with me I could do it right now but I don't.

Mr. Greene: Well we know that it's very close to 30%.

Mr. Nichols: What I'm saying is that if we hold the yard the way it is, with 35 in the rear and 12 on the sides, we can make an "L" shaped or have a curved side on it. If the variances are not granted then chances are the building might be in the shape of an "L." Square sides and right angles would reflect the satisfying requirements.

Mr. Greene: What we are looking for is a plan with the setback envelope on it, to be shown in connection with where the structure would lie within the envelope. It has to be 20x48 and if the encroachment goes into the rear yard then that's a variance that we would look at. Right now we're just looking at the rectangle on it with the unusually shaped lines.

Mr. Nichols: When do you meet again?

Chairman Ruchala: We'll set a date.

Mr. Nichols: Okay.

Chairman Ruchala: Do we have any questions from the public.

Mr. Anderson: What is considered the rear yard relative to the apartment house near where you're looking to get your variance?

Mr. Nichols: I don't know.

Mr. Anderson: This is whom I represent. So you're asking to push your structure closer to my 28 families.

Mr. Greene: From 35 to 20, so he's looking for a 15 foot variance.

Mr. Anderson: We would view that as a negative impact. I'd love to see it maintained but I respect your right to try to get this property developed and off the tax rolls so we'll try to work with you.

Chairman Ruchala: The gravel road has a sewer system in it, correct?

Mr. Nichols: Yes. The sewer goes underneath and then services the ward, that's the connection. I didn't bring the sewer plans with me but it comes down in an east-west direction. I'm not sure where it goes from there.

Mr. Greene: It's got to pump someplace.

Mr. Anderson: It goes across Brewster Realty Partners Property and the pump station is located at the avenue.

Chairman Ruchala: You go straight across and make a right where the Zerzelsky (sp) lot is.

Mr. Anderson: They came right through our property to the middle of the parking lot. The new utility line is running slightly downhill, almost against the stream flow.

Mr. Greene: Is the pump station on the north side of the building?

Mr. Anderson: Yes.

Mr. Greene: It's on the railroad side of the building, next to the parking lot.

Mr. Anderson: Yes.

Mr. Greene: So he's proposing this to be further over that line even, closer to the railroad tracks.

Mr. Nichols: Yes. They did put lines in so I can't see them not providing one.

Chairman Ruchala: Any one have any other questions. So you'll provide us with the envelope.

Mr. Nichols. Yes. The documentation that we have the right and the envelope. When can we meet again?

Chairman Ruchala: We're setting up Mondays. I'm away from August 3-11. I'm good for the 18<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Gianguzzi: 18 is not good for me. 25 is good.

Chairman Ruchala: We're going to tentatively put it on the 25<sup>th</sup> and I'll follow up.

Mr. Folchetti: My suggestion is that when we're finished here have a motion to hold the application over to the next meeting at the request of the applicant to clarify his application.

Mr. Greene: That he give us that breakdown with the table, with each of the things that he will comply, won't comply according to the drawing, and that shows the remaining envelope and to request a variance in feet or area or whatever it is.

Mr. Nichols: I did put that in on the copies. I'll bring that back along with a letter from the current owner that we have the privilege and right to come before the Board.

Mr. Greene: I think it's important that we look at a drawing and approve a drawing rather than a couple of lines of text.

Mr. Nichols: Okay.

Chairman Ruchala: Any other questions? [No response.] Then I make a motion that we continue this meeting to August 25, 2008, 7:00 p.m., 208 Main Street. And we're going to hold a public hearing.

Mr. Gianguzzi: Seconded.

Chairman Ruchala: All in favor.

All: Aye.

Mr. Folchetti: The next application.

Chairman Ruchala: We'll open this informal hearing concerning somebody who has been here many times, Rick Stockburger.

Mr. Stockburger: This is an informal application. As you know, I was here in December 2006. I got a variance for a two car garage and carport. I sent a letter to the Building Department saying that the condition of the garage and pull-down stairs is not very safe. I was advised by the Code Enforcement Officer to come back here and request a removal of that condition on the existing variance. First what I would like to do is remove the existing pull-down stairs and put permanent stairs in the garage and make it safe for access so I can get a shallower slope so it's not so steep. For the second part of my variance I'm proposing to make an addition to my garage-I'd like to make an addition to the rear of my house. The existing house is non-conforming with a 9 foot 8 inch side yard. The house is catty corner onto the property so if I make an addition I'll be encroaching on the 9 foot 8 so I'll need a further variance. The architect put it at 8 foot 5 inches so I'm requesting a variance of four feet from the 12 feet required to make an eight foot side yard variance.

Mr. Greene: I would love to see you offset that and do some architectural impact so that it doesn't have to go straight out.

Mr. Stockburger: What I want to do-the hip runs across the front, I want to run the hip across the back. Take the same architecture and make the extension down there, change the ridge, change the hip from front to back, the same scale, and the same architecture. It may come out a little bit. I'm asking for the variance now before I pay the architect to design a whole bunch of things so I can find out if I have the variance before I go ahead. As you can see the neighbor is granting me a four foot easement down there so I can widen that driveway now.

Mr. Greene: What about that big tree, is it gone?

Mr. Stockburger: The tree is still there and we may hold this in abeyance until the death of that big tree. The big maple is still there and we may end up doing a setback, something smaller but this is the scale I want to be able to work down there. And I may end up cornering it, making a 45 degree corner on it on the addition to the house. We want to see what looks right architecturally. We want to build a garage that matches the house and we want the house to match as closely as possible. A question for the Board is how many copies of all of this do I need to



provide with my application. I think the application is \$400.00 for the public hearing.

Chairman Ruchala: That's correct.

Mr. Stockburger: I already have the labels and mailers ready to go out. I'll make the application first thing tomorrow with the \$400.00. I have the application prepared to submit now.

Mr. Greene: So the application will meet all requirements with areas and coverages and all that.

Mr. Stockburger: Yes. And Mr. Greene, if you would like to review that and make sure everything is done correctly. I didn't sign the application or make copies of the application but I'll make copies tomorrow.

Mr. Greene: Send the Village engineer six copies-it doesn't really say how many copies you need.

Mr. Folchetti: If I might interject a procedural point. Obviously it's going to be a full application. I'm assuming that it's requesting modification of the prior DNO. It should be couched in the application as a proposed modification of that DNO. It's got to be noticed that way. There are a couple of variances.

Chairman Ruchala: What should the words be?

Mr. Folchetti: Application to modify Decision and Order of the ZBA of December 2006 and you can quote specifically about removal of the condition.

Chairman Ruchala: Is it a separate application?

Mr. Folchetti: It can be a dual application. You're modifying a prior Order.

Chairman Ruchala: It's got to be noticed.

Mr. Folchetti: It's not a rehearing. It doesn't have to go unanimous unanimous but it clearly is a modification and should be noticed just like the application before.

Chairman Ruchala: So in the public notice it should be noticed as a modification.

Mr. Greene: Absolutely.

Chairman Ruchala: What other documentation would you like to see in there other than the project plan and the application. Is that survey sufficient-it was taken from the "as built." They asked me for the "as built" for the garage but they never asked me for another.

Chairman Ruchala: But you're going to do it anyway.

Mr. Stockburger: I must live by the regulations.

Mr. Greene; Are there any other conditions of approval with the garage, notwithstanding all the other things going on in the Village-plumbing, electrical, insulation.

Mr. Stockburger: It can't exceed 7 foot 5. I measured that. The area variance-I measured that- that's what we did the survey for the side. So it complies with everything else.

Mr. Greene: So it can't be a dwelling unit no matter what, no matter who buys it.

Mr. Stockburger: It can never be a legal dwelling unit.

Chairman Ruchala: You're looking for less than a foot at this time.

Mr. Stockburger: No. I'm looking for a four foot variance that would make my nine foot 8 legal and change my four foot so that I would now have a legal eight foot side yard.

Mr. Greene: And this easement-was it to make way or was it just a paper easement?

Mr. Stockburger: No. What I did when they did my garage they tore up my driveway. It's very narrow so they're giving me an easement-I'm going to put a concrete driveway from the point of the house down and I'll build a retaining wall for them on my four foot side.

Mr. Greene: So you're using some of that four feet.

Mr. Stockburger: I'm using their four feet to widen my driveway and the retaining wall will be on my portion of the four feet and I will maintain it. My neighbors wanted me to just put in a driveway. I can't just put in a driveway.

Mr. Greene: The only thing I would say Rick is that it would probably be in keeping with the architecture of the house to set it back two feet, carry that ridge over, and get a nice corner board in there.

Mr. Stockburger: If I can do that I'll do that. If I have my variance then I can go forward and see what I can get, whether it ends up being 18 feet or 12 feet or ten feet. Obviously if that's the variance you grant me it can't be bigger than that. It can always be smaller. I don't want to get a variance for nine feet and then need nine feet 2. The main thing is we want to put an elevator shaft in the back and that's the purpose for the extension. Whatever is economical.

Mr. Gianguzzi: Will it have a buzzer or anything?

Mr. Stockburger: It will have no outside entrance. You can't get in. I'll make six copies, \$400.00. As soon as you guys make the public notice I'll get a copy for Peter, I'll stuff the envelopes. How many days notice do we have to give for the public hearing?

Mr. Folchetti: Ten working days, two weeks.

Mr. Greene: So you're going to make it for August 25<sup>th</sup>?

Mr. Stockburger: If you guys can make your requirement I'll get the certified letters ready to go.

Chairman Ruchala: It will be the 25<sup>th</sup>. And the next informal hearing will be 869, behind Norm's with traffic issues.

Mr. Doupis: I've been here for a long, long time Mr. Ruchala and the only person I recognize is Mr. Greene. I'm looking for some variance for storage of Express Taxi vehicles, to let him park five of his taxis there because they don't have any place else. As I was explaining to Mr. Ruchala several times, I have 3,000 square feet of vacancy right now. I'm hurting and he has plenty of taxis and I would like to let him use five spots, 24 hours a day. Mr. Ruchala gave me the chart for a

variance and I meet all the criteria for a variance and I'm here and I'm looking for some kind of help.

Mr. Gianguzzi: What exactly is he looking for?

Chairman Ruchala: He's looking to park cars, Express Taxi commercial vehicles, on his property. I think you have the electrical place.

Mr. Doupis: Yes.

Chairman Ruchala: And you have the salon.

Mr. Doupis: Yes. And I have the deli. Also, Enterprise Electric has commercial vehicles that they park in there. I haven't had any problems since 2000.

Mr. Greene: So it would be a use variance for someone who doesn't have a tenancy to park there. Are we in a B4 here?

Mr. Folchetti: B2.

Mr. Greene: If they dispatch from this space would he still require a variance?

Mr. Folchetti: If the use is not permitted in the schedule of District regulations.

Mr. Greene: So parking commercial vehicles would not be permitted on that property?

Mr. Folchetti: the first thing the applicant can seek is an interpretation as to whether or not the activity for which he's seeking to use that property is in fact a permitted use. If the interpretation is that that's a permitted use then the need for a variance is out the window.

Mr. Greene: Would he go to the building inspector for that or would he come to us?

Mr. Folchetti: The building inspector has sent him a remedy which is why he's come before us. Theoretically he can go to the building inspector and ask for a permit to do whatever work is required to make, whatever modifications structurally. Or he can come direct to the ZBA for an interpretation.

Chairman Ruchala: Has he been cited with an Order of Remedy from Bob Serino?

Mr. Folchetti: That the current use is not a permitted use does not mean necessarily that upon investigation and upon request for it and interpretation by this Board that we can't determine differently. His remedy would be to appeal Bob's determination here, which by way of interpretation is in all candor a lot more plausible than a use variance, the criteria for which, especially if the property is used commercially now, is very stringent. You can certainly do a dual application, first for an interpretation and then if the interpretation is denied then for a use variance.

Mr. Greene: B2 right.

Mr. Stockburger: Yes. B4 is the Honda.

Chairman Ruchala: B2 has private parking. The electrician is part of that property. Next to Brewster Honda to the parking lot on the left hand side next to the deli that used to be Tres Amigos. They change the name so often. A special exception use permit from the Village Board of Trustees would be an alternative.

Mr. Folchetti: I'm looking at the schedule of rules and regulations and there are very defined uses permitted in a B2-retail restaurants, personal services, art galleries, home occupation, professional offices, garage and a special exception is research facilities. So the only thing I can see plausibly for this application is a garage. Does that only mean private, which it appears to be but just the way it's written it's not clear. I was involved with the Zoning Committee but I don't recall dealing specifically with that issue. But he can certainly ask for an interpretation and if the interpretation is no then he has to make the variance application.

Mr. Greene: It appears that there are only two districts in which commercial parking is permitted-P and B4, which is right next door.

Mr. Folchetti: The parking plan itself would probably require a site plan approval. He could come here for an interpretation or he could go to the Planning Board for a site plan approval. They would then kick it to you.

Mr. Greene: We've previously seen a site plan.

Mr. Doupis: There is plenty of parking.

Mr. Greene: Whatever happens with the interpretation a parking study would have to be presented to show that if you do this with these five spaces you can still rent your building.

Mr. Doupis: It's a long story. I've submitted five plans to the Planning Board in 2000 which they never approved nor turned down. At the end of 2003 when Mr. Kropkowski was the lawyer here I had Marie as my lawyer the Chairman here said he did not understand why the Village of Brewster did not [unintelligible]. Mr. Chairman, 16 years I've been fighting. Even if you come to my parking lot-they're small stores and they know my situation.

Chairman Ruchala: So you're looking for parking for five commercial vehicles, taxi cabs.

Mr. Doupis: That's it. I'm not looking for anything else.

Chairman Ruchala: And you have some empty stores?

Mr. Doupis: I have over 3000 square feet vacant.

Mr. Gianguzzi: What happens if those stores aren't vacant?

Mr. Doupis: Well what happens is I'm going to submit my site plan. I'm going to have seven cars extra. I can bring it at the next meeting if you want because on the fifth of next month I'm going to be in Supreme Court. Justice Baruch is going to decide which way we're going to go with my neighbor to work out all the problems of 16 years in front of the Planning Board.

Chairman Ruchala: You have 16 years worth of problems and you're putting the whole ball of wax on five commercial parking spaces. Is that what you want to do to your property?

Mr. Doupis: Actually I'm looking because I have the vacancy and the man comes and says to me, the owner of Brewster Taxi, can I rent five parking spaces out of your lot because they chased me from the other place I was parking. So they parked for one month and then Mr. Serino comes and says he has a complaint, that they're not allowed to park in the vacancies. I said I never heard that but I guess

you have to go to the Zoning Board of Appeals. So right away I came down to you. I have a variance for a flea market over there.

Mr. Folchetti: If I may interject. The five parking spaces that the gentleman is speaking of right now would still require site plan approval. In that process the Planning Board could and should address your concerns about whether five spaces dedicated solely for livery vehicles would then affect the parking calculations that are required for the rest of the commercial space there. The Planning Board then can and should kick the application here for whatever appropriate remedy the gentleman is seeking.

Mr. Doupis: The next meeting Mr. Ruchala I'm going to come here.

Chairman Ruchala: The lawyer is not saying that. He's saying the best thing is to go before the Planning Board and put your whole package together and they ask the questions. Right now my question to you is that we have an Order of Remedy from Bob Serino, the building inspector. What is his standing at this point with respect to Mr. Serino's recommendation?

Mr. Folchetti: With respect to this Board?

Chairman Ruchala: Yes.

Mr. Folchetti: Nothing. No formal application has been made to this Board to stay any enforcement proceeding. I'm not aware that any enforcement proceeding has begun. There's a distinction between getting an Order of Remedy and commencing it either by a Summons or an Information in the local court. So no proceeding necessarily has to be faced. If and when there's an approval for the use that's sought that can be considered by the court in disposing of the case. The court can say the defendant complied or the defendant got a site plan approval and they'll consider that in the disposition. My understanding of the Code and my understanding of General Municipal Law is that those five parking spaces still require site plan approval. At that point the Planning Board will get the site plan approval application and say is this permitted use under the Code and if the answer is no it comes to you. And you can take whatever action you want on an interpretation and/or use variance or some alternative application and whatever relief you grant is embodied in an Order and the Planning Board considers that in granting any approval.

Chairman Ruchala: Any questions.

Mr. Stockburger: I just want to mention that if the applicant requests another hearing to supply missing data there is a \$500.00 charge.

Chairman Ruchala: This is an informal hearing.

Mr. Stockburger: I'm just saying you don't want to get in a situation where you keep bouncing him back and every time he comes back.

Chairman Ruchala: No. Just like you this evening he got one shot at the apple and it didn't cost you any money. The next one I want money.

Mr. Stockburger: And if I don't provide all the data the next time I come back and I ask you to hold it to provide additional data over it's another \$500.00 out of my pocket.

Chairman Ruchala: We have to pay the lawyer and every one else for additional meetings.

Mr. Folchetti: It's always the lawyers.

Chairman Ruchala: You have a lot of decisions to make. I can't give you advice but I would say you should see what's going to happen with all these other agencies because what you're trying to accomplish here may be a short term issue for you but you may make other decisions based on the big issues that are coming up.

Mr. Doupis: After the 5<sup>th</sup> of next month I guess I'm going to go to the Planning board and I'm going to take all of the old papers and I'm going to submit the site plan for approvals

Chairman Ruchala: And that will help you make a lot of other decisions for you.

Mr. Doupis: I don't want to go back 16 years because I don't have any more strength.

Chairman Ruchala: We thank you for coming.



Mr. Doupis: I appreciate your giving me the time to say what I have to say. I don't want to be illegal and have everyone coming after me.

Chairman Ruchala: Neither do we.

Mr. Doupis: Thank you very much, and I'm going to see what the Planning Board says-if they're going to fight me or send me back to you. I'm going to submit my application with all the copies and I'm going to show you everything.

Chairman Ruchala: You have a good day. Next I would like to talk about education. We have two or three options. Saratoga is one. Another is that we do an hour or two every month on Mondays, which seems to be a good day for everybody, where we come and get zoning education from Greg. There's a meeting in Saratoga, I believe it's October, I'll get the exact dates and anyone on our Board who wants to go can go. If we all decide to go we can go. It's a two day conference and/or we can do Greg and whoever wants to go can go.

Mr. Gianguzzi: Is it two days during the week?

Chairman Ruchala: Two days during the week. The Village pays for it. In effect we have a budget of up to \$2,500.00. The better trained we are as a group the better we all are. Does anyone here not want to do these meetings with Greg?

Mr. Gianguzzi: How many hours of training are we required to have Mr. Chairman?

Chairman Ruchala: Four hours. Do we want to do two two hour meetings?

Mr. Gianguzzi: I think that would probably be more cost effective to the Village. Hotels alone are a fortune.

Chairman Ruchala: Still, anyone on the Board who wants to go can go. We have the money to do it and we should do it because we should be as good as we can be. It's something I would definitely say we should do if we can.

Mr. Folchetti: We can break up the training sessions by topic-use variances, area variances, go through the recent decisions and answer a few questions about what constitutes what. We could have a meeting on the process-where people go, how they get there.

Mr. Gianguzzi: How many hours is Saratoga?

Chairman Ruchala: It's two days.

Mr. Gianguzzi: Meaning eight hours a day, sixteen hours.

Chairman Ruchala: Pretty well. Some of the Planning Board goes and you get to meet a lot of other people from a lot of other zones. If you have a problem on one issue, you take a problem, I take a problem and you focus on this and you become sort of the expert on that. You really do learn and it's nice to be with people and you get different ideas. It's good education. So we'll do two two hour meetings so let's say when. I like Mondays, it seems to be the best day here.

Mr. Gianguzzi: We have Monday the 25<sup>th</sup> already reserved.

Mr. Folchetti: I rather we start the education in September. I have a couple of trials next month.

Mr. Greene: At the next four meetings we can do education after each meeting for one hour.

Ms. Kropkowski: That would work.

Chairman Ruchala: I don't think we're going to have long meetings. If we did it tonight we'd be done at 9:00. I'll give the dates for the follow-up meetings.

Mr. Gianguzzi: If you could get me the dates for Saratoga as well if I can fit it into my schedule I might actually go.

Chairman Ruchala: I make a motion we adjourn the meeting.

Mr. Gianguzzi: I'll second that motion.

Chairman Ruchala: All in favor.

All: Aye.

Whereupon the meeting was adjourned at 8:05 p.m.

